

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/821,248

Applicant(s)

SEKI ET AL.

Examiner

Lin Ye

Art Unit

2615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lin Ye.

(3) _____

(2) Tracy, Edward

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 July 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 51, 52, 54 & 60

Identification of prior art discussed: Parulski et al. U.S. 5,633,678 and Endsley et al. U.S. 6,005,613

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Possible amendment discussed (claims 51, 52, 54 & 60) - includes the limitation "model manufacturers identifier" & spreadsheet". Examiner agrees to reconsider these limitation. New search will be conducted based on the amended manner.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required